

Nominee:

PI:

REU or RET:

2019 REU/RET Nomination Package
Nominee Personal Statement Rubric

	Rating			
Category	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
REU/RET Experience Does the statement clearly articulate the nominee's experience at the REU/RET site? Is this information understandable, and supported by examples, when appropriate?	Clearly and sufficiently articulates REU/RET experience; offers sufficient information on work done at site; offers well thought-out and understandable examples of tasks, accomplishments, challenges, etc.	Addresses REU/RET experience; offers information on work done; these examples may or may not be sufficiently explained or understandable	Marginally addresses REU/RET experience; may or may not offer concrete, understandable examples of work done	Does not address REU/RET experience or work done at REU/RET site; content is vague and/or unclear
REU/RET Impact Does the statement address how REU/RET impacted the nominee's life and/or career goals?	Clearly and sufficiently addresses how participation in REU/RET impacted their life and/or career goals	Addresses REU/RET impact but lacks detail or explanation	Marginally addresses impact	Does not mention impact
Conference Benefit Does the statement explain how the nominee will benefit from participating in the conference?	Clearly and sufficiently addresses how they will benefit from participating in the EEC Grantees Conference	Addresses expected conference benefit but lacks detail or explanation	Marginally address conference benefit	Does not mention conference benefit
Individuality Does the statement effectively distinguish the nominee from other applicants? Is the nominee memorable and remarkable for the right reasons?	Nominee has a distinct voice/presence through detailed focus on experience, activities, background, etc.; statement shows originality and insights/personality	Nominee offers details and leaves an impression, but perhaps lacks individuality or remarkability compared to other nominees	Nominee is acceptable, but is not really distinguishable from other nominees	Nominee does not leave a dominant impression because the information is dull, vague, or lacking in examples