

2019 NSF EEC Grantees Conference — Grantee-Led Session Rubric

	Rating			
Category	Excellent	Good	Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
<p>Theme/Span of Value Does the proposal explain how this session is related to conference theme (bridging connections)? Does it engage conference attendees from different programs/backgrounds?</p>	Clearly and sufficiently explains (with specific examples) how session relates to theme of bridging connections; offers significant value to (ideally) a wide range of attendees	Sufficiently explains how session relates to theme of bridging connections; offers potential value to a range of conference attendees	Marginally addresses how session relates to theme of bridging connections (vague); possibly offers value to attendees and/or too specific to reach more than a small segment of attendees	Does not address theme of bridging connections at all; does not indicate span of interest or value for attendees
<p>Originality Does the session proposed offer originality, with regard to topic, perspective, creativity, or other factors? Does it stand out from other proposals in a good way?</p>	Session proposed offers highly original perspective and/or treatment of discussing the topic	Session proposed offers a moderately original perspective or treatment of discussing the topic	Session proposed offers minimal originality	Session proposed offers no originality
<p>Goals and Objectives Does the proposal provide concise, detailed information on the session's goals and objectives, and the proposed outcomes for attendees? Are these goals attainable?</p>	The goals, objectives, and outcomes are strongly developed, attainable, and explicitly stated	These items are developed, attainable, and are all stated	These items are not fully developed or attainable and/or are not all stated	Goals, objectives, and outcomes are not stated and/or not developed or attainable
<p>Creativity and Interactivity Is the session creative? Will the session involve meaningful interactivity among participants? Are activities described?</p>	Session proposed is creative and/or non-traditional, features high levels of meaningful interactivity, with described activities and how they contribute to session goals	Session proposed is creative and/or interactive and features some level of meaningful interactivity; activities may or may not be described	Session proposed is not very creative; may be interactive, but it's not clear whether or not it's meaningful; does not describe specific activities, or describes activities vaguely	Session proposed is not creative and/or has no interactivity
<p>Overall Proposal Does the proposal contain all necessary components? Is everything stated clearly and concisely? It is clear that this proposal was well thought-out?</p>	Proposal is complete, well thought-out and organized clearly and concisely	Proposal is complete and organized, but lacks clarity or some sections are not well-formed	Proposal is incomplete, but the content is otherwise good	Proposal is incomplete, not clear or well thought-out